

FANTASCLENCE FOTOS 1

I have them with me

Still's from "Frankenstein" & "Franky's Frau", HI-Brow stuff like "Flash Gordon" & "The Undersea Kingdom" i& "The Lost City of the Ligurians"), "horror" stuff from "The Black Cat", "Raven", "Old Dark Hearse" (my mistake: "House"), "Most Dangerous Game", "Mystery of Edwin Drood", "The Ghout", "Murders in the Zoo", "Secrets of the French Police"; "Phantom of the Opera", "The Monkey's Paw", "Dracuta", "Jekvil-Hyde", "Murders in the Rue Morgue", "Mumov", "keturn of Chandu", "Wax Museum", "Nite of Mystery" & sech sterling stistuff as "Invistble Man", "Island of Lost Souls", "Deluge", "King Kong", "Things to Come", "Nite Key", "Invisible Ray", "Hi Treason", "Dovil Doil", "WereWolf of London". "Fpl". I can be easily approachi, so ster rife up & look over the lof & see what live got that U want, & we'll do a deat. Ispecial: 10% discount to all amikoj who price the pix with me In... Esperanto! Now don't U wish U'd learnd the blamed lingo?]

Recty & truly,

ye GinemaniAck

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Weaver Wright, cover montage Herbert Haeussler, Metro. bk Jos. R. Scherer, translation of Foreword Socal Ginema Soc'y, foto inserts LASFL: Equipment to produce

SCIENTIFICINEMASTERPIECE"

"Hi into the air! Deep into the earth! Indescribable, mighty melodrama of New York 100 Yrs Hence!" Thus blazond the theater ads in Americanewspapers & magazines 13 yrs ago when Paramount-Publix imported the protetic picture destind to enthrall audiences of its time & remain a living memory, as in a shrine, in the mind of evry stfan being born then who was old enut & fortunate enuf to see & appreciate 1t. Others--most of U, I imagine, reading these words when intended they shoud b, i.e., within hrs of the showing of the picture -- have longd for long & long to see this classic.

A number of imagi-natives of Losangeles & environs had the -- privilege -- preceeding the CONVENTION by some 8 wks. This publication presents a portion of the opinions exprest by these people after seeing the picture. Also included r words from its director & cameraman, a bit about the authoresse, cast of principal characters, & other items of -- it is hoped -- interest

U will notice this mag is markt "Vol I Num I". There will b another number if sufficient response forthcomes. After viewing the picture record your reactions & send same together with IOc to Fantascience Field: 236 1/2 N New Hampshire, Hollywood. Mid-Aug shoud see either the publication of METROPOLIS #2 or the refund of your IOc. As an added inducement to participate, to the contributor of the -- in the editorial opinion -- most interesting article, will b awarded a copy of Science Fiction Digest 33 Mar. This number contains a resume of ME-TROPOLIS, "Types of Science Fiction" by Dr Keller, "The Science Fic-tion Eye" by JuliuSchwartz, "Spilling the Atoms" by Rap, "The Ether Vibrates" by Weisinger, "The Time Tatler", "Titans of Science Fiction: Farnsworth Wright", & concluding instalment of A. MERRITT'S "WOMAN OF THE WOOD". An issue wellworth owning!

Sciencerely,

45J Stellerman

The Bk: Original edit. pubit in Deutsch, 274 pgs, cover jacket by Wreimann or W. Reimann showing colorful supercity with 2 men in attitudes quite similar to those pictured by Paul for "A Visitor from the 20th Century" in AmS 28 May. Actual cover, a beautiful green fan-cily stampt in gold with title & name of authoresse. <u>Dedication</u> reads "Ich lege dieses Buch in Deine Hande, Fried" - "I lay this bk in your hands, Fred". The Foreword states: "This bk is not a present-day picture. This bk is not a picture of the future. This bk takes place nowhere. This bk serves no tendency, no class, no party. This bk is an imagining that trys to make U recognize this truth: Halfway be-tween the Brain & the Hands must the Heart b!" On the backflap is an ad of "Die verlorene Welt" by Conan Doyle, or our old friend, "The Lost World".

The Authoresse: Thea von Harbou (pronounst Tay'uh fahn Hahr'boo). also wrote the scientifictionovels "Frau im Mond" ("Woman in the Moon"] & "Spione" ("Spys"). Said to b a woman of striking apearance & personality. Marryd METROPOLIS' director,

frity mans

FRITZ LANG (Lahng), Director of METROPOLIS, divorced from its authoresse, now lives in Celluloid City. A letter from Lang reveals he never has seen the version of METROPOLIS cut for consumption on the N. Americontinent! Adapted by Channing Pollock (cousin of a Los-Anĝelesa Esperantisto), 4 reels were deleted, so that a little over 1/2 hr has been lost. Lang says he got his inspiration for the City of the Future from New York on his firstrip here in 126. One wonders what inspirations he might get from aftending the CONVENTION!

The Cameraman

the signature of (pronounst Froyndt) behind the "box" enstein" & other movies. Freund the first nite was interviewed from

Such is KARL FREUND - the brain on "Frankimaginative was present

was interviewd from the floor. V"it was the first film of Brigitfe Helm" he informd. "Strangely, the one thing we feard--the public's reaction to the robot she portrayd--was passt by without comment; but the picture was attackt politicly, being calld Communist Propaganda, Capitalist Propaganda, even Religious Propaganda... The technical trick of the luminous rings circling automaton during the transformation of the manikin" he went on to reveal, "was accomplisht by whirling small lit bulbs, like electric Christmas lites." This was at varglass tubes, gasfild & illuminated by electricity, were manipulated by 3 operators.

The Cast:

"Maria", woman & "mechanigal" - Brigitte Helm (Brih-gih'teh) Jno Masterman: Alfred Abel His Son, Eric: Gustav Frolich (Goo'stahf Frew'lich) Rotwang (Rote'vahng): Rudolf Klein-Rogge (Rogue, eh?) Joseph: Theodor (Tay'o-dore) #7: Heinrich George / Loos



The Transformation

PAUL FREEHAFER: Here is the information on <u>METROPOLIS</u> (it deserves both capitals and underlining) as requested. Firstly: Yes, this is the firstime I saw it. May I add, with decent luck it won't be the lastime either. Secondly: From here on my reactions must be divided into two parts: a) The technical side of the picture, and b) the acting. Technically it far exceeded my expectations, great tho they were. In my humble opinion even the marvelous scenes in <u>Things</u> to <u>Come</u> were not equal to the effects achieved here, despite the improvements in camera technique in the intervening years. But I had completely torgotten the unrestrained overacting prevalent in the silent films, and was shocked, horrified, and amused. (More ham than the Chicago stock-yards.) So, balancing these two factors in my mind, I place <u>Metropoils</u> second in my list of favorite scientificinemas, high because of its marvelous settings and laboratory scenes, below <u>Things</u> to <u>Come</u> because of its poor acting and over-exaggerated philosophy.

FRANKLYN BRADY: I had not seen it before. I thought that it "Metropolis" had had a better plot, it would have been better ever I couldn't take "Metropolis" seriously at all, it seemed too much like a futuristic Silly Symphony. Hard to comepare the two because one was all humor and the other had a serious and sensible plot. As far as my expectation of a serious plot want, it failed to match it, but it surpassed any idea I ever had of the amount of humor in the picture. Acting - hammy except for Rotwang. Directing - excellent. Ditto for

FRED SHROYER: Oh! Reaction? Swell photog. Better than things to <u>come</u>. If memory serves me land it better had.) better than just. Only fiv in salve the damnable, utterly unholy ham acting. Subtract acting (sic) and you have as a residue one of the best photographic efforts yet I have seen. Easy to see origin of laboratory scenes of Frankenstien fame; of Quasimodo's struggle atop the cathedral of Notre Dame in picture of same title; of the stair descent of the Phantom of the Opera. Story trite admitted. No point made as plot ends in draw and "where are our children." "Heart must unite hands and

WARREN J. OSWALD: I had not seen it before. "Things to Come" had better settings and acting, but the directing in "Metropolis" was a bit the better. It surpassed my expectations, because I knew the date of release and remembered other pictures of the same date. It surpassed any up to that time. The acting detracted from the picture as a whole. Too dramatic. The directing was <u>excel-</u> was not much real science involved, but what was there was very good. The story was mediocre, excepting a few incidences...

MAYBELLE ANSHUTZ: (Translated from Esperante) - About the beautiful & very interesting movie "Metropolis": Yes, it is vesit in the theater & enjoy such atrocitys? Don't say yes, please, I coudn't stand the shame. It was good enut for the old days, but then

Concise Comments: VODOSO - I that it fair: the plot childish & the acting over-emotional. HODGK INS: So that s your Great Scientifilm---!

RAY DOUGLAS BRADBURY: This was the first time I saw Metropolis, and I assure you that it gave me one of the most en-

tertaining scientifictional evenings l've had in over a year. laughed Itil I sprang a leak in my lung. Compared with things to come, I would, at first, give my all for the Wells epicomposition, but, after spinning it around in my mind I suddenly realized that METROPOLIS was just as good, even though it was dated. I really didn't know exactly what to expect when I went to see it. I had heard garbled tales of its super-super photography, etc, but it burst on me like a bombshell, and a rather humorous one at that. And since I had hardly any expectations I was neither disappointed or overly elated. The acting was----as is always with pictures made in them that days -- a bit of Armour's Star. (ham) The plot was moth-caten. The directing, well, it could have been better. But, the best part, was the photography. I believe, without a doubt, that one of the most interesting scienti-scenes that was ever taken was the one where the girl Maria, has her personality and physical beauty transferred to that of the female automaton. Science was poor. But now that the evening is part of misted memory 1 have forgotten most of the funny things, thank heaven, and only the good things remain. METROPOLIS is indeed well worth my money any day.

This was the firstime I'd seen METROPOLIS. I that it was won-MORO IO: derful, considering it was the first of its kind & was produced over 13 yrs ago. Naturaly, it can't compare with more recent spectacles such as Things to Come because they have the advantage of yrs of experience in production & acting. Even so, the sets were magnificent. The camera perspectives were very impressive. In spite of what some say about the horrible acting I that it was good. It seemd very much overdone compared to what we have today, true; but when we consider everything had to b conveyd by pantomime & facial expression without the assistance of speech ... we must admit it was welldone. The girl playd her 2 parts perfectly: She was a s.y.t. & a vicious robot, if that wasn't good acting what woud U call it. The boy very successfully exprest all the joys & sorrows, hopes & tears & trustrations which he was sposed to experience. The cast put the ideas over so there was little dout at any time as to just what was going on. I have been hoping for yrs for a chance to see METROPOLIS. I definitely was NOT disappointed in what I saw; but what I heard--! The wise-cracking of one who sat directly in front of me lfigure it out for yourself, Ray; the I shan't say which Ray -- there r 3 in the LA Leagl, the ribald latter & general uproar, even audible comicomment by the local disciple. of dignity... What uncomplimentary conclusion is to b deduced from the tact It was a considerably quieter crowd the 2d nite I saw METROPOLIS & the audience was practicly devoid of stfans?!

POGO: Metropolis was super-tine. The totografy was perfect--the acting over-done--& the story rotton--but nevertheless, I enjoyd every minute of its showing.

MRS ALLIS KERLAY: The "Metropolis" revival was a real treat & thrill to me. I had seen the picture before during a brief visit to the States (from the Dominion of Canada, then my home). This was in 1927. For Quay, mon mari, my--"how you say?"--ha, ha--my 'usban', it was the tirst seeing. He is not a science fiction enthusiast, helas ("but he's My Man!"), & tho' I know he would rather see a Folies Bergère, he would say he considered "our" picture a remarkable production. I must admit "Things to Come" is the superior picture, but I liked "Metropolitan Sta--, pardon--"Metropolis"! better than "Just Imagine" or any of the other future-films. Sans doute, a dozen years from now they'll make one greater than even "Things to Come", but 1'll cling to "Things" like a clingstone, 'cause it's a peach: Like Paul or a Gernsbach Amazing, I just love "Metropolis". I guess I'm just a sentimentalist at heart.

METROPOLIS MYSTERYS !

What woud the 4 missing reels reveals At the showings sponsord by the Academy Review, on display were 3 super scrap bks -- personal property of the director--containing over 800 scenes from the movie ... practicly the picture itself! Piecing together what I coud from this incredible array of stills lpaling into microsignificance my longprized collection of 10), it apears to me the complete continues beyond the conventional "everything all x" ending, demanding the death of the son! For there is a foto of the father & Rotwang regarding a monument recording Eric's birth & "loss". Joseph, the employee whom Masterman dismisses with "Draw your pay from the G-Bank", seems somehow to have figured much more prominently in the following proceedings than we r shown. Maria, the robot, seems sometime to have done a 2d dance, in entirely a different costume, whether during Ericts delirium or in actuality, I cannot say. The Briest, practicly nonexistent in "our" version, seems to have played a rather prominent role in the original. No gunplay apears in the Pollock adaptation. We see no dreat stone stafue, the carved face of Maria. One of Lang's stills shows the real Maria, during her wild flite from Rotwang at the climax, clinging to a great bell à la Lon Chancy in "Notre Dame". Studying a still of the electri-city, I discoverd names on the sides of bldgs wich one might not notice in the rapid passing of the scene, or coud not read from a-- BEDELAT - S GONDEAL - ANENIROK - BONDEA - ADE LENE - ERANOT - SIDIR -LERME - & an Ewith a backward capital N next it! What weird language?!

SIDE-LITES

As Fay Wray became noen as "the horror herolne" so i eteci trigitte Holm the inter-native "Scientifilm Girl" because beside hETROPOLIS she has been teatured in Deutsch & French versions of so many other imaginative movies, such as, for example, Pierre Remoit's "The Mistress of Atlantis", "Spys" Lintrigue in superbank of tomorol, "Gold" latomanufactured, artificial <u>aurum</u>), "Mandragore Atraene" (testube-baby, maturing to soulless siren) &c... Good Old Brigl "-Jno Rust Fearn owns a print of METROPOLIS. "Included in an estimated 300 intabitants of Cinema City who attended during the time of the gravival-showings were celebritys Richard Cromwell to first-niter! ? Chartie McCarthy's creator, preving the lafter's no dummy! "Said Donald Gledhill, Mgr of the house, to the audiences after each performence: "When they said 'movies' In those day: they really meant moviest" - A suggestion once was made in a British cinemag to remake AETROPOL-LIS with a cest comprised of Dorothea ("Madehen in Uniform") Wieck tweek) as "Maria", Conrad Veidt (File) as the Master of Metrocolis "Joh Fredersen" in the Deutsch version? & for "Sofwang"---Peter Lerre I

CONTEST LANOTHER !!

stendpoint. <u>Reward</u>: The Scientifilm Issue of FANTASY Manazing.

amongst such analytical & polisht pensiers as L. Sprague de Camp. "Doch Lowndes, Dick Wilson, P. Schuyler Milter, Jan W. Campbell Jr. RDSwisher & commades of this calibre, shoud came & madel résuné at METROPOLIS. On il may b written by a comparitive unknown. Bot written if definitely shoud b1, to b publisht & stand as the synopsis for scientificilonists.

1

HANKUTTNER: This is the section of Metroplis. I that if was section to use sech it wons

b-4 in 1926. The following and x-towardiney, intensive dramatic & powriul, where will did it its resources can akwul but not surpass the came triks. Lang & his fotograters did somthing unusual, which wasn't dun in just imagine-they made the actitektur realistik. I hav handled filling most powriulity. Even in Things to kum the produces seend too much imprest by their own ileas. Supr-science in tilms shud he taken for granted, used as a basis on which a story shud b bilt. I liked Metroplis betr than either Things to kum or just imagine. Whil individual aktors wer oldlashed in teknik Lang's handling of crowds & masses is mastrix. The aktors r hammy; the direkshun & totograty dramatic. A piktur worth seeing. Gee was 1 theilids. I viewd it with ACK-streme plesur. He has

Saluda:

WAN G-

BELLE WYMAN: I that it was a wonderful picture. I was so surprised that there was so much in it. I guess I had forgotten. Wonderful for such a far-back picture. Intgresting rite from the start. Held your attn so. Seems like everybody ought to see it. Enjoyd every minute of it; sorry when it was over. Still outstanding.